

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/797,124	NAKAYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner Rodney Amadiz	Art Unit 2629

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Non Final

(1) Rodney Amadiz.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Melvin Kraus.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 January 2008

**Time:** 1 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 7*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed changes to claims 1 & 7 in order to avoid a lack of antecedent basis. The Attorney agreed to the changes. Please see the Claim Objections. The Attorney was called on Tuesday, February 05, 2008 and notified that an allowance will not be mailed, but rather an Office Action pertaining to 112 issues will be mailed...